LAW FIRM PROFILES

Vault Law Rank: 30

## AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	F
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	21/100	2/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$14,143,000,000	\$6,870,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,556,000,000	\$50,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$12,587,000,000	\$6,820,000
EXAMPLE	N/A	Anadarko Petroleum	ExxonMobil

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld's lobbies for fossil fuel companies including ExxonMobil, TransAtlantic Petroleum, and Chevron. The firm also performs lobbying on behalf of other fossil fuel industry actors, including Koch Industries, Domestic Petroleum Council, Mammoth Energy Services, and Pipe Line Contractors Association. Akin Gump conducts 337 times as much lobbying for fossil fuels than renewable energy.

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## **ALLEN & OVERY**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	1/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$172,906,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$19,541,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$153,365,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	ExxonMobil	N/A

Allen & Overy has done more transactional work on behalf of the fossil fuel industry than any other Vault 100 firm. Allen & Overy has helped in the refinancing and acquisition of over 170 different projects supporting the fossil fuel industry. These projects include pipelines, oil refineries, gas power plants, and coal mines. Despite the increasing phase-out of coal power in a number of countries, the firm supports coal-fired power plants in the Global South, including plants in Vietnam and Indonesia.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **ALSTON & BIRD**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	30/100	87/100	7/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3 cases</b>	\$7,000,000	\$1,530,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$32,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3 cases</b>	-\$25,000,000	\$1,530,000
EXAMPLE	California Chamber of Commerce v. California Air Resources Board	Mattawoman CCGT Power Plant	ConocoPhillips

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **ARENT FOX**

**Climate Score** 



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	100/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$0</b>	\$110,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$1,090,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$-980,000
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	National Oilwell Varco

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	С
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	13/100	74/100	20/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	9 cases *	<b>\$0</b>	\$80,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>7 cases</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$80,000
EXAMPLE	City of New York v. BP p.l.c.	La Paloma Power Plant	BP

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## BAKER & HOSTETLER





	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	B	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	6/100	74/100	17/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	10 cases *	<b>\$0</b>	\$240,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	*** 10 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$240,000
EXAMPLE	Competitive Enterprise Institute v. Attorney General of New York	N/A	DCOR (oil & gas)

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **BAKER BOTTS**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	D	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	6/100	20/100	13/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	10 cases *	\$13,931,000,000 <sup>†</sup>	\$305,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$640,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	*** 10 cases	\$13,291,000,000	\$305,000
EXAMPLE	City & County of Honolulu v. Sunoco LP	Peru Liquified Natural Gas	Mack Energy

Baker Botts represents the fossil fuel industry in several cases against cities and municipalities seeking financial compensation for climate change damages. Baker Botts has joined other firms in supporting fossil fuel companies like Chevron and Sunoco against several counties in California, the state of Rhode Island, and the City of Honolulu, among others. The firm has also represented petrochemical companies and business associations challenging environmental legislation. On its website, the firm proudly states that it handles "litigation for producers, processors, transporters, distributors and marketers" of oil, natural gas, natural gas liquids, coal and electricity.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019
- Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD

#### **Climate Score**

## **BAKER MCKENZIE**



MITIGATING   I cases   \$5,171,000,000   \$0     CLIMATE CHANGE   I cases   \$5,171,000,000   \$0				
CATEGORYAFBRANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (I IS WORST)97/10015/10060/100EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE0 cases*\$25,893,000,000*MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE1 cases**\$5,171,000,000***NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE-1 cases***\$20,722,000,000***NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGEUnited States v. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District ofMorupule Coal-Fired Power PlantN/A		LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)97/10015/10060/100EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE0 cases*\$25,893,000,000 <sup>†</sup> \$0MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE1 cases**\$5,171,000,000 <sup>††</sup> \$0NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE-1 cases***\$20,722,000,000 <sup>†</sup> \$0NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGEUnited States v. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District ofMorupule Coal-Fired Power PlantN/A		Α	F	B
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE0 cases\$25,893,000,000'\$0MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE1 cases**\$5,171,000,000''\$0NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE-1 cases***\$20,722,000,000'\$0NET WORK EXAMPLEUnited States v. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District ofMorupule Coal-Fired Power PlantN/A	VAULT 100 FIRMS	97/100	15/100	60/100
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE1 cases\$5,171,000,000\$0NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE-1 cases***\$20,722,000,000\$0EXAMPLEUnited States v. 			\$25,893,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE-1 cases\$20,722,000,000\$0EXAMPLEUnited States v. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District ofMorupule Coal-Fired Power PlantN/A				\$0
EXAMPLE Difference states v.   Metropolitan Water Power Plant   Reclamation District of Power Plant	EXACERBATING	_		\$ <b>0</b>
	EXAMPLE	Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of		N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**BALLARD SPAHR** 

#### **Climate Score**

# C

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	С	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	50/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$ <b>770,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$365,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$406,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	In re Energy Answers Arecibo LLC	Hill Top Energy Center	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **BLANK ROME**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	С	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	59/100	12/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	<b>\$15,000,000</b>	\$740,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$370,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$15,00,000	\$370,000
EXAMPLE	N/A	Duck Island Terminal	PBF Energy

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	57/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$104,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$104,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE		Long Island Power Plant	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	94/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$396,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$- <b>396,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Roe v. Arch Coal	Sempra Renewables	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	43/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	<b>\$1,388,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$ <b>1,388,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Castleton Commodities International	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## CAHILL GORDON & RENDELL



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	32/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$4,023,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$ <b>4,023,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	North Yard Crude Oil Terminal	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	25/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$12,712,000,000 <sup>†</sup>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,743,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$10,969,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Pemex	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD

#### **Climate Score**

**Climate Score** 

## **CLIFFORD CHANCE**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	4/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	\$115,649,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$31,914,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$83,708,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Saudi Aramco	N/A

Clifford Chance has worked on over 130 transactions on behalf of the fossil fuel industry from 2015 to 2019. Although the firm has facilitated renewable energy projects, its transactional work on behalf of fossil fuel projects is nearly six times larger than its renewable work. Clifford Chance's fossil fuel work includes coal fired power plants, gas pipelines, and oil drilling globally, including work for Petrobras in Brasil and Exkom in South Africa.

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## COOLEY LLP

**Climate Score** 



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$0	\$0
EXAMPLE	N/A.	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## COVINGTON & BURLING



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	D	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	23/100	36/100	8/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>5 cases</b>	\$3,637,000,000	\$1,350,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,409,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>5 cases</b> ***	\$ <b>2,228,000,000</b>	\$1,350,000
EXAMPLE	Gulf Restoration Network v. Zinke	Atlas Pipeline Partners	BP

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## **COZEN O'CONNOR**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	Α	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	100/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases *	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	-2 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Mann v. Competitive Enterprise Institute	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## CRAVATH, SWAINE, & MOORE



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	F	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	10/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$32,032,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$223,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$31,809,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Shell	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## **CROWELL & MORING**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	С	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	10/100	48/100	18/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	9 cases *	<b>\$510,000,000</b>	\$155,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$ <b>58,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	8 cases ***	\$ <b>452,000,000</b>	\$155,000
EXAMPLE	Growth Energy v. EPA	Invenergy	Philadelphia Independent Oil and Gas Association

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	22/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$13,955,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,858,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$12,097,000,000	\$0
EXAMPLE	York County v. Rambo	ExxonMobil	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	B	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	97/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3</b> cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	-1 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Western States Petroleum Association v. City of Portland	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	58/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$ <b>75,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$75,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	In re La Paloma Generating Co.	La Paloma Power Plant	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## DECHERT

**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	Α	D	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	97/100	31/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases *	\$ <b>5,450,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$20,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	-1 cases	\$5,430,000,000	\$0
EXAMPLE	Goldstein v. Climate Action Network	Tengizchevroil	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## DENTONS



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	С
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	33/100	21/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases *	\$ <b>5,949,000,000</b>	\$350,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$2,240,000,000	\$290,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$3,710,000,000	\$60,000
EXAMPLE	N/A	Royal Dutch Shell	Repsol

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **DLA PIPER**

**Climate Score** 



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	37/100	9/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$8,761,000,000	\$910,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$6,574,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$2,187,000,000	\$910,000
EXAMPLE	N/A	Maamba Coal-Fired Power Plant	Spectra Energy

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## **DUANE MORRIS**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	С	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	51/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$346,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>2 cases</b> ***	\$346,000,000	\$0
EXAMPLE	County of Santa Cruz v. Chevron Corp.	Salem Harbor Station Gas Plant	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **FENWICK & WEST**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$ <b>0</b>	\$0
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## FISH & RICHARDSON



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## **FOLEY & LARDNER**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	Α	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	98/100	10/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$710,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,422,000,000	\$60,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases	\$-1,422,000,000 <sup>†††</sup>	\$650,000
EXAMPLE	Bay Area Citizens v. Association of Bay Area Governments	Assembly Solar	Fairfield Geotechnologies

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## FOLEY HOAG



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	88/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$26,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	-\$26,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Portland Pipe Line Corp. v. City of South Portland	Soltage	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## FOX ROTHSCHILD



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	92/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$302,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	-\$302,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	In re La Paloma Generating Co.	Kern County Public Housing Rooftop Solar	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	14/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	\$27,932,000,000 <sup>†</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>3,473,000,000</b> <sup>††</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$24,458,000,000	\$0
EXAMPLE	N/A	Queensland Gas Pipeline	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	54/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• o cases	<b>\$267,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$68,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$198,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Lucid Energy Group II	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD

**Climate Score** 

# GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	D	С
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	2/100	27/100	19/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	18 cases *	\$11,075,000,000 <sup>+</sup>	\$100,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>1,904,000,000</b> <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	*** 18 cases	\$9,171,000,000 <sup>†††</sup>	\$100,000
EXAMPLE	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. BP	Deep Gulf Energy	Koch Industries

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher has represented fossil fuel companies in 18 cases from 2015 to 2019. Their clients include Chevron, Sunoco, and BP. The firm proudly states on its website that it advises "the world's leading oil and gas exploration, development and production companies." Gibson Dunn has defended fossil fuel companies against lawsuits brought on behalf of cities and municipalities for climate-related damages. The firm has devoted ample resources to have have these cases dismissed from courts, seeking to limit the scope and impact of climate litigation suits to hold corporate actors accountable.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- A^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# **GOODWIN PROCTER**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# GREENBERG TRAURIG

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	С	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	18/100	55/100	6/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases *	\$1,433,000,000	\$1,710,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,238,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases	\$196,000,000	\$1,710,000
EXAMPLE	North Dakota v. EPA	LS Power	Peabody Energy

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# HAYNES AND BOONE





	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	18/100	49/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases	<b>\$412,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases	\$412,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Healey	Trans Energy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# **HOGAN LOVELLS**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	D	F
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	8/100	26/100	1/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<sup>*</sup> 9 cases	\$14,462,000,000	\$7,085,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>4,569,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	9 cases	\$9,893,000,000	\$7,085,000
EXAMPLE	Sierra Club v. FERC	Alstom	Drummond Co.

The over \$7 million dollars in lobbying income that Hogan Lovells has received since 2015 is the most of any firm in this report. Its clients include Denbury Resources, a company engaged in hydrocarbon exploration in the Gulf Coast and Rocky Mountains; HollyFrontier Corp., a petroleum refiner; and Drummond Company, which has been repeatedly investigated for links with paramilitary death squads in Colombia. While not included in this report, recent news articles have also indicated that Hogan Lovells has lobbied for Saudi Arabia's oil industry.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# **HOLLAND & KNIGHT**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	30/100	39/100	98/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	4 cases	\$1,880,000,000	\$990,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$26,000,000</b>	\$1,420,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	3 cases	\$ <b>1,854,000,000</b>	-\$430,000
EXAMPLE	Rocky Mountain Farmers Union v. Corey	Teco Energy	Neste Oil

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# HUGHES HUBBARD & REED



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	45/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$1,105,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$73,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$1,032,000,000 <sup>†††</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Petrobras	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# **IRELL & MANELLA**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases *	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# **JENNER & BLOCK**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	53/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3 cases</b> *	\$ <b>235,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$235,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC v. EPA	Nevsun Resources	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# JONES DAY

**Climate Score** 



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	26/100	30/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	4 cases *	\$11,967,000,000 <sup>†</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>5,582,000,000</b> <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	4 cases	\$6,384,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp.	MarkWest Energy Partners	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# K & L GATES

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	23/100	61/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>5 cases</b>	\$ <b>837,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$830,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	5 cases ***	\$8,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Lighthouse Resources, Inc. v. Inslee	Kooragang Island Gas Terminal	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### **Climate Score**

## KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	B	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	74/100	11/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$440,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$ <b>0</b>	\$440,000
EXAMPLE	Rocky Mountain Farmers Union v. Corey	N/A	ExxonMobil

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL, & FREDERICK

**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	13/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	7 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>7 cases</b> ***	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	City of Oakland v. BP PLC	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

†† value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	PAWS Holdings, LLC v. Daikin Industries, Ltd.	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### **Climate Score**

# **KING & SPALDING**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	8/100	8/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	9 cases *	\$43,320,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>553,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	9 cases	\$42,767,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp.	Trans-Afghanistan Pipeline	N/A

King & Spalding has worked on nine cases exacerbating climate change since 2015. King & Spalding has repeatedly represented Chevron against cities and counties aiming to hold oil companies liable for sea level rise and other climate-related damages. Further, King & Spalding has facilitated the financing of pipelines around the world, including in Nigeria, India, Australia, Afghanistan and Mexico.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	18/100	12/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases *	\$26,186,000,000 <sup>†</sup>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$830,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases	\$25,356,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp.	BP	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	60/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	<b>\$25,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>15,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$10,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Midland Basin Partners	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# LATHAM & WATKINS



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	4/100	3/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	14 cases *	\$110,958,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$16,143,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>13 cases</b>	\$94,815,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. BP PLC	Dakota Access Pipeline	N/A

From 2015 to 2019, Latham & Watkins facilitated a shocking \$110 billion worth of transactions that exacerbate climate change. These projects include the Dakota Access Pipeline, Russian gas and petrochemical plants, and a Kazakh oil field. ExxonMobil and Anadarko Pretroleum are two of its biggest clients. In addition to facilitating the financing and acquisitions of billions of dollars of climate-degrading projects, Latham & Watkins has litigated against efforts to hold fossil fuel companies liable for climate change impacts.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# LINKLATERS





	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	11/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$52,354,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$26,871,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$25,483,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Hub Coal Power Plant	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# LITTLER MENDELSON

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# LOCKE LORD

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	41/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$1,797,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$74,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$1,723,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Anadarko Petroleum	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

MAYER BROWN

### **Climate Score**

 $\wedge \wedge$ 

#### TRANSACTIONS LITIGATION LOBBYING **GRADE IN** CATEGORY **RANK AMONG** VAULT 100 18/100 19/100 15/100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST) EXACERBATING \$22,210,000,000 \$440,000 6 cases CLIMATE CHANGE \*\* †† MITIGATING \$5,680,000,000 \$160,000 **O** cases CLIMATE CHANGE $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ NET WORK \*\*\* ††† \$16.530.000.000 \$280,000 6 cases EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE Natural Resources Columbia Pipeline Group Chevron **EXAMPLE** Defense Council v. Wheeler

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	Α	B	С
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	97/100	91/100	23/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases *	\$208,000,000	\$40,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$487,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	-1 case	-\$279,000,000 <sup>†††</sup>	\$40,000
EXAMPLE	Goldstein v. Climate Action Network	Mesquite Gas-Fired Generating Station	AltaGas

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### **Climate Score**

# **MCGUIRE WOODS**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	D	F
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	30/100	34/100	4/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3 cases</b>	<b>\$4,143,000,000</b>	\$2,320,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,035,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	3 cases	\$3,108,000,000	\$2,320,000
EXAMPLE	County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp.	SCANA Energy Natural Gas	ExxonMobil

Since 2015, McGuireWoods has brought in over \$2 million for lobbying for fossil fuel conglomerates. Consistently named one of the top lobbying firms in the United States, McGuireWoods uses its expertise to lobby politicians on behalf of the oil and gas industry, including Exxon Mobil and the American Petroleum Institute.

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- A^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# **MILBANK**

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	5/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$ <b>71,998,000,000</b> <sup>†</sup>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$12,818,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$59,180,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Dakota Access Pipeline	N/A

Milbank has facilitated climate-destroying projects worth nearly \$72 billion from 2015 to 2019. Milbank's website proudly boasts of its expertise in financing oil and gas pipelines and advising petrochemical companies. Notable deals include a liquefied natural gas project in Papua New Guinea, a Louisiana petrochemical plant, and the Dakota Access Pipeline, which cuts through indigenous lands.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- + value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO

TRANSACTIONS LITIGATION LOBBYING **GRADE IN** B B CATEGORY RANK AMONG VAULT 100 73/100 74/100 60/100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST) \* † EXACERBATING 0 cases **\$0 \$0** CLIMATE CHANGE  $\wedge \wedge$ \*\* †† MITIGATING **\$0 \$0** 0 cases CLIMATE CHANGE  $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ NET WORK \*\*\* ††† **\$0 \$0** EXACERBATING 0 cases CLIMATE CHANGE N/A N/A N/A **EXAMPLE** 

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD



### **Climate Score**

# MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	10/100	29/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	10 cases *	\$9,618,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases **	\$ <b>2,905,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	8 cases	\$ <b>6,713,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp.	SCANA Energy Natural Gas	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# MORRISON & FOERSTER

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	44/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$2,975,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,696,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$1,279,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Center for Biological Diversity v. California Department of Fish and Wildlife	Royal Dutch Shell	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	6/100	96/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	9 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$1,175,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	8 cases	-\$1,175,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. BP PLC	Oaktree Capital Management	N/A

Munger, Tolles & Olson has worked on **9** cases exacerbating climate change since 2015. The firm has repeatedly represented Shell against localities seeking to hold Shell accountable for climate damages. In the past, Munger Tolles & Olson has also defended Transocean drilling company in litigation arising from an oil spill and defended Shell Oil in a class action by Mississippi homeowners seeking recourse for the destruction caused by Hurricane Katrina.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAA Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### **Climate Score**

# NIXON PEABODY



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	47/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$556,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$556,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Rhode Island v. Chevron Corp.	Cricket Valley Energy Center	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	18/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	* <b>2 cases</b>	\$48,137,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$30,233,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	\$17,903,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Sierra Club v. U.S. Dep't of Energy	Shah Deniz Gas Field	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# O'MELVENY & MYERS





	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	23/100	90/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>5 cases</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$120,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	5 cases	-\$120,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Native Village of Kivalina v. ExxonMobil Corp.	San Juan Mesa Wind Project Acquisition	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	30/100	97/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>5 cases</b> *	\$7,544,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases **	\$8,866,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3</b> cases	-\$1,312,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Rhode Island v. Chevron Corp.	Pacific Gas & Electric Company	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# **PAUL HASTINGS**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	28/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	\$7,907,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$774,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$ <b>7,133,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Trinidad Gas-Fired Power Plant	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	1/100	35/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>21 cases</b> *	\$ <b>5,376,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$3,050,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>21 cases</b> ***	\$ <b>2,326,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	City of New York v. BP P.L.C	TransCanada Pipelines	N/A

Paul Weiss has worked on a whopping 21 cases exacerbating climate change since 2015, the most of any firm in this report. In many of those cases, Paul Weiss is representing ExxonMobil. As evidence has emerged that Exxon promoted climate denial despite knowing about the dangers of climate change decades ago, cities and localities have brought suits against Exxon for the damages resulting from climate change and Exxon's deception of the public. Paul Weiss has devoted enormous resources to ensuring Exxon evades accountability, using tactics the Massachusetts Attorney General called "absurd" and "blatantly obstructionist."

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

### **Climate Score**

# **PEPPER HAMILTON**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **PERKINS COIE**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	Α	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	18/100	89/100	16/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>7 cases</b> *	<b>\$0</b>	\$270,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	l case	\$100,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$10,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases	-\$100,000,000	\$260,000
EXAMPLE	Gas Processors Association v. EPA	N/A	Ergon Inc.

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	36/100	40/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3</b> cases *	\$2,807,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$1,074,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>2 cases</b> ***	\$1,733,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	King & Gardiner Farms LLC v. City of Kern	Great Plains Energy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## **PROSKAUER ROSE**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	56/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	\$ <b>194,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$194,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Sheridan Production Co.	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$ <b>0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	Oakland Bulk & Oversized Terminal, LLC v. City of Oakland	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

<sup>+†</sup> value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **REED SMITH**

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	42/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$ <b>1,653,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>212,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$1,442,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Cricket Valley Energy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **ROPES & GRAY**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	38/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	\$ <b>2,075,000,000</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$113,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$ <b>1,963,000,000</b>	\$0
EXAMPLE	N/A	FirstEnergy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	86/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$16,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	-\$16,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Conergy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## **SEYFARTH SHAW**



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	73/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# SHEARMAN & STERLING



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	7/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$57,457,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$9,325,000,000 <sup>++</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$48,131,000,000	\$0
EXAMPLE	N/A	Central Java Coal-Fired Power Plant	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON

Climate Score

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	93/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$330,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	-\$330,000,000 <sup>†††</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Thunderhead Wind Energy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## SIDLEY AUSTIN



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	F	D	С
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	3/100	24/100	23/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	16 cases *	\$12,430,000,000	\$280,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,256,000,000	\$240,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	*** 16 cases	\$11,174,000,000	\$40,000
EXAMPLE	Juliana v. United States	Piedmont Natural Gas	Chevron

Sidley Austin has worked on 16 cases exacerbating climate change since 2015. These include opposing standing for the child plaintiffs in the well-known Juliana climate litigation. Sidley's own website brags that it has represented clients "in virtually every significant climate change nuisance litigation matter," including the seminal Kivalina case before the Ninth Circuit. Other clients include the American Petroleum Institute and the TransCanada Keystone Pipeline.

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 †† value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	9/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>\$46,311,000,000</b> <sup>†</sup>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$9,544,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$36,767,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Kinder Morgan	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER, & FLOM



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	Α
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	16/100	99/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$31,744,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$11,281,000,000	\$530,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$20,463,000,000	-\$530,000
EXAMPLE	N/A	Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Pattern Energy Group

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

## SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	С	F
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	13/100	52/100	3/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>7 cases</b> *	\$ <b>2,251,000,000</b>	\$ <b>6,220,000</b> ^
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>2,005,000,000</b>	\$1,465,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>7 cases</b>	\$2 <b>47,000,000</b>	\$4,755,000
EXAMPLE	Murray Energy Corp.	Central Penn Gas Pipeline	CTL Energy

Although Squire Patton Boggs has worked on seven cases exacerbating climate change in the last five years alone (including multiple on behalf of Murray Energy), the firm's work has been particularly egregious because of its lobbying. It has repeatedly lobbied for notorious polluters, including Royal Dutch Shell, the Gulf Energy Alliance, and the coal company CTL Energy.

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

- ^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

<sup>+++</sup> value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

# STEPTOE & JOHNSON

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	B	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	74/100	5/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$2,720,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$800,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	t*** 1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$1,920,000
EXAMPLE	White Earth Nation v. Kerry	N/A	Peabody Energy

Steptoe & Johnson stands out from many other firms for the sheer number of polluter clients for which it has lobbied in recent years. The firm appears to have particular expertise lobbying on behalf of oil and gas companies, including the American Gas Association, Peabody Energy, and the Society of Independent Gas Marketers. Its website emphasizes how they have "[s]uccessfully lobbied for passage of Cotenancy Modernization and Majority Protection Act on behalf of largest oil and gas producer in West Virginia." This law broadens the circumstances under which companies can produce oil and gas without the consent of mineral rights owners.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- ++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019
- Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD

# SULLIVAN & CROMWELL

Climate Score



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	13/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	\$25,694,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$555,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$25,138,000,000	\$0
EXAMPLE	N/A	Cheniere Energy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **SUSMAN GODFREY**



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	18/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases *	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases ***	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	City of Oakland v. BP	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## TROUTMAN SANDERS

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	30/100	99/100	97/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3 cases</b>	<b>\$495,000,000</b>	\$110,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$2,422,000,000	\$120,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	3 cases	-\$ <b>1,927,000,000</b>	-\$10,000
EXAMPLE	WildEarth Guardians v. BLM	Southern Natural Gas Pipeline	Marathon Petroleum

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD

#### **Climate Score**

## VENABLE





	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
	LINGATION		LOBBIING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	B	D
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	30/100	74/100	14/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>3</b> cases *	<b>\$0</b>	\$360,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	<b>\$0</b>	\$70,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	3 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$290,000
EXAMPLE	County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp.	N/A	Devon Energy

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

#### **Climate Score**

## VINSON & ELKINS



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	F	С
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	18/100	2/100	24/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	<b>6 cases</b>	\$109,783,000,000	\$20,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$1,565,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	6 cases	\$108,217,000,000	\$20,000
EXAMPLE	County of Santa Cruz v. Chevron Corp.	Eagle Rock Energy Partners	Antero Midstream Partners

Vinson & Elkins has facilitated hundreds of recent transactions on behalf the fossil fuel industry. The firm work has worked on behalf of polluters on projects worth over \$100 billion. It has helped corporate clients acquire and finance oil fields, pipelines, gas-powered plants, offshore drilling facilities, and fracking sites.

- \* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019
- \*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019
- † value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- $\dagger\dagger$  value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019
- +++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019 ^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019
- Annoome received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019
- ^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	D	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	26/100	17/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	4 cases *	\$20,379,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,300,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	4 cases	\$19,079,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	City of New York v. BP	Anadarko Petroleum	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	D	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	23/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$13,379,000,000 <sup>†</sup>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,371,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$12,008,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD

#### **Climate Score**

## WHITE & CASE



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	F	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	6/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$68,225,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$12,893,000,000 <sup>††</sup>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	\$55,332,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	In re La Paloma Generating Co.	Royal Dutch Shell	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

^Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

# WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	С	B	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	45/100	74/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$ <b>0</b>
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
EXAMPLE	Commonwealth v. Exxon Mobil Corp.	N/A	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

AAAFossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

**Climate Score** 

# WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER



	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	С	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	46/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$ <b>610,000,000</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$4,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	\$606,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Sage Midstream Ventures	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## WILMERHALE





	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	B	B
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	97/100	74/100	97/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	1 case	<b>\$0</b>	\$80,000
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	2 cases	<b>\$0</b>	\$90,000
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	-1 case	<b>\$0</b>	-\$10,000
EXAMPLE	Renewable Fuels Association v. EPA	N/A	GCC Energy

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

† value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI



**Climate Score** 

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	В	Α	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	95/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• <b>0 cases</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$1,039,000,000	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	-\$1,039,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Sunrun	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Alncome received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

## **WINSTON & STRAWN**

	LITIGATION	TRANSACTIONS	LOBBYING
GRADE IN CATEGORY	B	B	В
RANK AMONG VAULT 100 FIRMS (1 IS WORST)	73/100	100/100	60/100
EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	• 0 cases	\$1,068,000,000	\$0
MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases **	\$ <b>7,719,000,000</b>	\$0
NET WORK EXACERBATING CLIMATE CHANGE	0 cases	-\$6,651,000,000	\$ <b>0</b>
EXAMPLE	N/A	Stanton Energy	N/A

\* number of cases exacerbating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\* number of cases mitigating climate change and its impacts, 2015-2019

\*\*\* number of cases exacerbating climate change minus the number of cases mitigating climate change, 2015-2019

+ value of fossil fuel transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

++ value of renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

+++ value of fossil fuel transactions minus renewable energy transactions where firm was legal adviser, 2015-2019

Income received for lobbying on behalf of fossil fuel industry, 2015-2019

^^Income received for lobbying on behalf of renewable energy industry, 2015-2019

^^^Fossil fuel lobbying income minus renewable energy lobbying income, 2015-2019

Note: For transactions work, all amounts are rounded to the nearest million USD



#### **Climate Score**